Adaptive Time Series Forecasting of Energy Consumption using Optimized Cluster Analysis

**Peter Laurinec**, Marek Lóderer, Petra Vrablecová, Mária Lucká, Viera Rozinajová, Anna Bou Ezzeddine 12.12.2016

Slovak University of Technology in Bratislava

# Motivation

More accurate forecast of electricity consumption is needed due to:

- Optimization of electricity consumption.
- Production of electricity. Overvoltage in grid.
- Distribution (utility) companies. Deregulation of the market. Purchase and sale of electricity.

## Smart grids:

- Intelligent networks.
- Smart meters (every consumer has them).
- Usually 48 measurements per day.
- Advanced methods of forecast.



# Forecast methods

# Factors influencing electricity load:

- Seasonality (daily, weekly, ...)
- Weather (temperature, humidity, ...)
- Holidays
- Random effects

## Methods:

- Time series analysis
- Regression
  - Linear model
  - AI methods
- Time series data mining + clustering

• Clustering of consumers (creation of more predictable groups of consumers) improves forecast accuracy against simple aggregate forecast.

• Clustering of consumers (creation of more predictable groups of consumers) improves forecast accuracy against simple aggregate forecast.

Target:

• Clustering of consumers (creation of more predictable groups of consumers) improves forecast accuracy against simple aggregate forecast.

#### Target:

• Compare and find most suitable forecast methods that are suitable for the combination with clustering.

#### Available data come from smart meters from Ireland.

#### Available data come from smart meters from Ireland.

Available data come from smart meters from Ireland.

#### Ireland

• 3639 consumers. Residences.

Available data come from smart meters from Ireland.

- 3639 consumers. Residences.
- 48 measurements per day.

Available data come from smart meters from Ireland.

- 3639 consumers. Residences.
- 48 measurements per day.
- Test set from three months of year 2010 (February, May and September).

Available data come from smart meters from Ireland.

- 3639 consumers. Residences.
- 48 measurements per day.
- Test set from three months of year 2010 (February, May and September).



# Median weekly and daily profile



#### What we want to do

Data come every day: **batch processing** (sliding window approach).



Batch of the length of two weeks (enough, fast).

#### Adaptability:

• Automatic selection of the number of clusters.

# Aggregation with clustering

1. Set of time series of electricity consumption of the length of two weeks

- 1. Set of time series of electricity consumption of the length of two weeks
- 2. Normalization (z-score)

- 1. Set of time series of electricity consumption of the length of two weeks
- 2. Normalization (z-score)
- 3. Computation of representations of time series (Compared 4 methods)

- 1. Set of time series of electricity consumption of the length of two weeks
- 2. Normalization (z-score)
- 3. Computation of representations of time series (Compared 4 methods)
- 4. Automatic determination of optimal number of clusters K (DB-index)

- 1. Set of time series of electricity consumption of the length of two weeks
- 2. Normalization (z-score)
- 3. Computation of representations of time series (Compared 4 methods)
- 4. Automatic determination of optimal number of clusters K (DB-index)
- 5. Clustering of representations (K-means with centroids initialization by K-means++)

- 1. Set of time series of electricity consumption of the length of two weeks
- 2. Normalization (z-score)
- 3. Computation of representations of time series (Compared 4 methods)
- 4. Automatic determination of optimal number of clusters K (DB-index)
- 5. Clustering of representations (K-means with centroids initialization by K-means++)
- 6. Summation of K time series by found clusters

- 1. Set of time series of electricity consumption of the length of two weeks
- 2. Normalization (z-score)
- 3. Computation of representations of time series (Compared 4 methods)
- 4. Automatic determination of optimal number of clusters K (DB-index)
- 5. Clustering of representations (K-means with centroids initialization by K-means++)
- 6. Summation of *K* time series by found clusters
- 7. Training of *K* forecast models and the following forecast (Compared 10 methods)

- 1. Set of time series of electricity consumption of the length of two weeks
- 2. Normalization (z-score)
- 3. Computation of representations of time series (Compared 4 methods)
- 4. Automatic determination of optimal number of clusters K (DB-index)
- 5. Clustering of representations (K-means with centroids initialization by K-means++)
- 6. Summation of *K* time series by found clusters
- 7. Training of *K* forecast models and the following forecast (Compared 10 methods)
- 8. Summation of forecasts and evaluation

- 1. Set of time series of electricity consumption of the length of two weeks
- 2. Normalization (z-score)
- 3. Computation of representations of time series (Compared 4 methods)
- 4. Automatic determination of optimal number of clusters K (DB-index)
- 5. Clustering of representations (K-means with centroids initialization by K-means++)
- 6. Summation of *K* time series by found clusters
- 7. Training of *K* forecast models and the following forecast (Compared 10 methods)
- 8. Summation of forecasts and evaluation
- 9. Remove first day and add new one to the training window (sliding window approach), go to step 1

#### Representations of time series



### Why time series representations?

1. Reduce memory load.

- 1. Reduce memory load.
- 2. Accelerate subsequent machine learning algorithms.

- 1. Reduce memory load.
- 2. Accelerate subsequent machine learning algorithms.
- 3. Implicitly remove noise from the data.

- 1. Reduce memory load.
- 2. Accelerate subsequent machine learning algorithms.
- 3. Implicitly remove noise from the data.
- 4. Emphasize the essential characteristics of the data.

### Why time series representations?

- 1. Reduce memory load.
- 2. Accelerate subsequent machine learning algorithms.
- 3. Implicitly remove noise from the data.
- 4. Emphasize the essential characteristics of the data.

#### Model based representations:

### Why time series representations?

- 1. Reduce memory load.
- 2. Accelerate subsequent machine learning algorithms.
- 3. Implicitly remove noise from the data.
- 4. Emphasize the essential characteristics of the data.

#### Model based representations:

• Suitable for seasonal time series

### Model based methods

- Representations based on statistical model.
- Extraction of regression coefficients  $\Rightarrow$  creation of daily profiles.
- Creation of representation which is long as frequency of time series (48).

 $x_i = \beta_1 u_{i1} + \beta_2 u_{i2} + \dots + \beta_{seas} u_{iseas} + \varepsilon_i$ , where  $i = 1, \dots, n$ New representation:  $\hat{\beta} = (\hat{\beta}_1, \dots, \hat{\beta}_{seas})$ .

Applied methods:

Robust Linear Model. Generalized Additive Model (smoothing function).

- Triple Holt-Winters Exponential Smoothing. Last seasonal coefficients as representation.
  - 1. Smoothing factors were set automatically.

- Triple Holt-Winters Exponential Smoothing. Last seasonal coefficients as representation.
  - 1. Smoothing factors were set automatically.
- Median daily profile.

# Comparison of model based representations



HW - Holt-Winters, RLM - Robust Linear Model, Median - Median daily profile, GAM - Generalized Additive Model.

#### Ten methods:

- Double Seasonal Holt-Winters Exponential Smoothing
- STL decomposition + Exponential Smoothing
- STL decomposition + ARIMA
- Support Vector Regression (SVR)
- Random Forest
- Extreme Gradient Boosting (xgboost)
- Extremely Randomized Trees
- Bagging
- Multilayer Perceptron
- Deep Learning

The accuracy of the forecast of electricity consumption was measured by **MAPE** (Mean Absolute Percentage Error).

$$\mathsf{MAPE} = 100 \times \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \frac{|x_t - \overline{x}_t|}{x_t},$$

where  $x_t$  is a real consumption,  $\overline{x}_t$  is a forecasted load and n is a length of the time series.

# Experiments

### Setup:

- Sliding window of 14 days.
- Number of clusters from 8 to 18.
- One day ahead forecast.
- One model for all days during the week and separate models for workdays and weekdays.
- Various lengths of training windows for forecast methods.
- Various features (inputs) to forecast models. Dummy time variables (SVR), time of the day and day of the week, sinus and cosinus form of time, lagged load (denoised).
- $\cdot\,$  Mean values of MAPEs  $\rightarrow\,$

	Sum		Median		GAM	
Method Name	MAPE	window	MAPE	window	MAPE	window
DSHW	4.577	14	4.304	14	4.279	14
STL + ARIMA	7.310	9	7.008	8	6.968	8
STL + ETS	11.014	4	7.767	8	7.672	8
SVR	4.206	14	4.046	14	4.114	14
RF	4.26	9	4.193	9	4.19	9
XGB	4.015	14	4.004	14	4.007	14
ExRT	3.758	14	3.754	14	3.754	14
Bagg	3.960	14	3.818	14	3.795	14
MLPnet	5.776	14	5.227	9	5.229	9
DLnet	4.473	14	4.395	14	4.433	14
Mean	5.054		4.869		4.879	

	Sum		Median		GAM	
Method Name	MAPE	window	MAPE	window	MAPE	window
STL + ARIMA	4.317	4	3.982	6	4.001	6
STL + ETS	6.024	4	4.813	4	4.804	3
SVR	3.828	10	3.886	10	3.819	10
RF	3.855	5	3.817	5	3.805	5
XGB	4.081	10	4.023	10	4.030	10
ExRT	3.800	10	3.796	10	3.797	10
Bagg	3.822	10	3.685	10	3.680	10
Mean	4.003		3.924		3.913	

# Conclusion

- Clustering of consumers can **improve** forecast accuracy.
- **Significant** improvement: DSHW, STL + ARIMA, STL + ETS, RandomForest, Bagging and MLPnet.
- Same results as simple aggregation most of times: SVR, ExtraTrees, xgboost and DLnet.
- We have shown that the best representations are **RLM**, **Median** and **GAM**.
- Average forecast error of forecasting methods with **separated** workdays and weekend **models** was lowered by 0.852% in comparison with methods without model separation.